



Summary of Candidate Election Expenses  
 June 22, 2010 Yarmouth By-election  
 Candidate: John Percy (GPNS)  
 Official Agent: Ian Charles  
 Date Filed: August 31, 2010

Maximum Expenses Permitted: \$ 65,226.39  
 Maximum Reimbursement (public funding): \$ 18,972.62

Expense Category	Filed by Official Agent	Election Expenses <sup>1</sup> Eligible for Reimbursement <sup>2</sup>
Headquarter Expenses	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.00
Worker Remuneration	0.00	0.00
Publicity / Advertising	4,051.43	4,051.43
Travel	119.78	119.78
Campaign Functions	0.00	0.00
Other	9.90	9.90
Personal Expenses of Candidate	0.00	0.00
<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>\$ 4,181.11</b>	<b>\$ 4,181.11</b>
Disputed Claims	0.00	0.00
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 4,181.11</b>	<b>\$ 4,181.11</b>

<sup>1</sup> Under ss. 180(1) and 183(1) of the *Elections Act* the Chief Electoral Officer must be satisfied that all expenses reported by the official agent of a candidate are accurate and meet the definition of election expenses. The detailed review conducted by the Chief Electoral Officer may result in adjustments for a number of reasons including omission of expenses that must be reported as election expenses and inclusion of expenses that do not meet the definition of election expenses.

<sup>2</sup> Candidates who receive not less than 10% of the valid votes cast are eligible for reimbursement of election expenses under S 182 of the *Elections Act*.



Summary of Candidate Election Expenses  
 June 22, 2010 Yarmouth By-election  
 Candidate: Belle Hatfield (IND)  
 Official Agent: Micheline Cottrell  
 Date Filed: August 18, 2010

Maximum Expenses Permitted: \$ 65,226.39  
 Maximum Reimbursement (public funding): \$ 18,972.62

Expense Category	Filed by Official Agent	Election Expenses <sup>1</sup> Eligible for Reimbursement <sup>2</sup>
Headquarter Expenses	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.00
Worker Remuneration	0.00	0.00
Publicity / Advertising	4,868.59	4,868.59
Travel	105.63	105.63
Campaign Functions	306.04	306.04
Other	46.73	46.73
Personal Expenses of Candidate		
<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>\$ 5,326.99</b>	<b>\$ 5,326.99</b>
Disputed Claims	0.00	0.00
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 5,326.99</b>	<b>\$ 5,326.99</b>

- 1 Under subsections 180(1) and 183(1) of the *Elections Act* the Chief Electoral Officer must be satisfied that all expenses reported by the official agent of a candidate are accurate and meet the definition of election expenses. The detailed review conducted by the Chief Electoral Officer may result in adjustments for a number of reasons including omission of expenses that must be reported as election expenses and inclusion of expenses that do not meet the definition of election expenses.
- 2 Candidates who receive not less than 10% of the valid votes cast in their electoral district are eligible for reimbursement of election expenses under subsection 182(1) of the *Elections Act*.



Summary of Candidate Election Expenses  
 June 22, 2010 Yarmouth By-election  
 Candidate: John Deveau (NDP)  
 Official Agent: Milton Fraser  
 Date Filed: August 31, 2010

Maximum Expenses Permitted: \$ 65,226.39  
 Maximum Reimbursement (public funding): \$ 18,972.62

Expense Category	Filed by Official Agent	Election Expenses <sup>1</sup> Eligible for Reimbursement <sup>2</sup>
Headquarter Expenses	\$ 3,071.88	\$ 3,071.88
Worker Remuneration	7,510.12	3,616.88
Publicity / Advertising	11,919.92	11,832.71
Travel	0.00	0.00
Campaign Functions	213.55	213.55
Other	32.66	32.66
Personal Expenses of Candidate	0.00	0.00
<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>\$ 22,748.13</b>	<b>\$ 18,767.68</b>
Disputed Claims	0.00	0.00
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 22,748.13</b>	<b>\$ 18,767.68</b>

<sup>1</sup> Under ss. 180(1) and 183(1) of the *Elections Act* the Chief Electoral Officer must be satisfied that all expenses reported by the official agent of a candidate are accurate and meet the definition of election expenses. The detailed review conducted by the Chief Electoral Officer may result in adjustments for a number of reasons including omission of expenses that must be reported as election expenses and inclusion of expenses that do not meet the definition of election expenses.

<sup>2</sup> Candidates who receive not less than 10% of the valid votes cast are eligible for reimbursement of election expenses under S 182 of the *Elections Act*.



Summary of Candidate Election Expenses  
June 22, 2010 Yarmouth By-election  
Candidate: Jonathan Dean (Atlantica Party)  
Official Agent: Dean J. Hovey-Smith  
Date Filed: September 15, 2010

Maximum Expenses Permitted: \$ 65,226.39  
Maximum Reimbursement (public funding): \$ 18,972.62

Expense Category	Filed by Official Agent	Election Expenses <sup>1</sup> Eligible for Reimbursement <sup>2</sup>
Headquarter Expenses	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.00
Worker Remuneration	0.00	0.00
Publicity / Advertising	494.94	494.94
Travel	0.00	0.00
Campaign Functions	0.00	0.00
Other	0.00	0.00
Personal Expenses of Candidate	0.00	0.00
<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>\$ 494.94</b>	<b>\$ 494.94</b>
Disputed Claims	0.00	0.00
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 494.94</b>	<b>\$ 494.94</b>

<sup>1</sup> Under ss. 180(1) and 183(1) of the *Elections Act* the Chief Electoral Officer must be satisfied that all expenses reported by the official agent of a candidate are accurate and meet the definition of election expenses. The detailed review conducted by the Chief Electoral Officer may result in adjustments for a number of reasons including omission of expenses that must be reported as election expenses and inclusion of expenses that do not meet the definition of election expenses.

<sup>2</sup> Candidates who receive not less than 10% of the valid votes cast are eligible for reimbursement of election expenses under S 182 of the *Elections Act*.



Summary of Candidate Election Expenses  
 June 22, 2010 Yarmouth By-election  
 Candidate: Charles Crosby (PC)  
 Official Agent: Kevin d'Entremont  
 Date Filed: September 1, 2010

Maximum Expenses Permitted: \$ 65,226.39  
 Maximum Reimbursement (public funding): \$ 18,972.62

Expense Category	Filed by Official Agent	Election Expenses <sup>1</sup> Eligible for Reimbursement <sup>2</sup>
Headquarter Expenses	\$ 3,370.26	\$ 3,265.73
Worker Remuneration	1,818.62	1,657.51
Publicity / Advertising	31,308.23	31,308.23
Travel	242.63	42.63
Campaign Functions	4,125.67	4,013.37
Other	179.78	49.78
Personal Expenses of Candidate	0.00	0.00
<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>\$ 41,045.19</b>	<b>\$ 40,337.25</b>
Disputed Claims	0.00	0.00
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 41,045.19</b>	<b>\$ 40,337.25</b>

<sup>1</sup> Under ss. 180(1) and 183(1) of the *Elections Act* the Chief Electoral Officer must be satisfied that all expenses reported by the official agent of a candidate are accurate and meet the definition of election expenses. The detailed review conducted by the Chief Electoral Officer may result in adjustments for a number of reasons including omission of expenses that must be reported as election expenses and inclusion of expenses that do not meet the definition of election expenses.

<sup>2</sup> Candidates who receive not less than 10% of the valid votes cast are eligible for reimbursement of election expenses under S 182 of the *Elections Act*.



Summary of Candidate Election Expenses  
 June 22, 2010 Yarmouth By-election  
 Candidate: Zach Churchill (NSLP)  
 Official Agent: Marlene Surette  
 Date Filed: September 24, 2010<sup>1</sup>

Maximum Expenses Permitted: \$ 65,226.39  
 Maximum Reimbursement (public funding): \$ 18,972.62

Expense Category	Filed by Official Agent	Election Expenses <sup>2</sup> Eligible for Reimbursement <sup>3</sup>
Headquarter Expenses	\$ 5,451.54	\$ 3,555.12
Worker Remuneration	739.74	1,856.80
Publicity / Advertising	26,385.45	25,279.03
Travel	434.57	1,672.85
Campaign Functions	1,977.33	1,977.33
Other	954.28	0.00
Personal Expenses of Candidate	0.00	0.00
<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>\$ 35,942.91</b>	<b>\$ 34,341.13</b>
Disputed Claims	0.00	0.00
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 35,942.91</b>	<b>\$34,341.13</b>

<sup>1</sup> September 24, 2010 unaudited, no affidavit official agent indicated audited report will be filed on a subsequent date. On November 1, 2010 faxed copies received, November 2, 2010 originals received.

<sup>2</sup> Under ss. 180(1) and 183(1) of the *Elections Act* the Chief Electoral Officer must be satisfied that all expenses reported by the official agent of a candidate are accurate and meet the definition of election expenses. The detailed review conducted by the Chief Electoral Officer may result in adjustments for a number of reasons including omission of expenses that must be reported as election expenses and inclusion of expenses that do not meet the definition of election expenses.

<sup>3</sup> Candidates who receive not less than 10% of the valid votes cast are eligible for reimbursement of election expenses under S 182 of the *Elections Act*.